



California Farmland Conversion Report 1998 - 2000



State of California

Gray Davis
Governor

Resources Agency

Mary D. Nichols
Secretary for Resources

Department of Conservation

Darryl Young
Director

California Farmland Conversion Report 1998 - 2000

*California Department of Conservation
Division of Land Resource Protection*

Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program

December 2002

Acknowledgements

Many individuals and organizations have contributed to this report and the GIS data from which it was derived.

Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program Staff

Molly Penberth, Manager

Janet Carey

Patrick Hennessy

Kerri Kisko

Michael Kisko

Sherron Muma

David Patch

Judith Santillan

With the assistance of Larelle Burkham-Greydanus and Sam Coe.

Thanks also to all past FMMP staff members for their cumulative contributions.

Division of Land Resource Protection

Erik Vink, Assistant Director

Emily Kishi, Administrative Liaison

Principal Data Sources include soil surveys produced by the U.S. Department of Agriculture-Natural Resources Conservation Service and aerial imagery acquired by the High Altitude Missions Branch of NASA. Current and historic satellite data by permission of SPOT Data Corporation and EROS Data Center. Photography was also made available from the U.S. Department of Agriculture Farm Service Agency, the San Diego Association of Governments, Mojave Water Agency, and private sector air photo libraries.

Cultural base information for the Important Farmland Maps was derived from the U.S. Geological Survey, with updates from Geographic Data Technologies, Inc., and information derived from digital imagery.

Additional data on land management and land use conversion activity was made available from the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, California Department of Fish and Game, California Department of Water Resources, the California Waste Management Board, and the CALFED Bay-Delta Program.

Map reviewer comments contribute substantially to improving the quality of the information. These reviewers include county and city planning offices, county agricultural commissioners, resource conservation districts, Natural Resources Conservation Service district conservationists, California Farm Bureau Federation, University of California Cooperative Extension, California Cattlemen's Association, local water and irrigation districts, public interest groups, and building industry representatives. Many of these groups also participated in development of the Farmland of Local Importance definitions for their respective counties.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
ONE Introduction	
The Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program.....	3
Important Farmland Map Categories & Statistical Notes.....	5
TWO 1998-2000 Improvements	
Survey Area Additions, Digital Map Updating, Digital Soil Surveys.....	7
THREE Understanding the Data	
Location & Explanation of Tables	9
FOUR Land Use Conversion, 1998-2000	
Introduction	11
Urbanization.....	12
Other Changes Affecting Agriculture	16
Net Land Use Change	21
APPENDIX A County Conversion Tables	23
APPENDIX B 1998 and 2000 County Acreage Tallies	72
APPENDIX C County and Regional Conversion Summaries	76
APPENDIX D Farmland of Local Importance Definitions	80
FIGURES	
Figure 1	FMMP Survey Area..... 4
Figure 2	Additions & Upgrades..... 7
Figure 3	Soil Survey Example
Figure 4	Conversion Table Structure..... 9
Figure 5	FMMP Regions..... 10
Figure 6	Statewide Acreage Change
Figure 7	Sources of Urban Land 1998-2000..... 14
Figure 8	Urban Air Photo Examples
Figure 9	Land Removed from Irrigated Agriculture 1998-2000 ... 16
Figure 10	Orchard Subdivided for Residences..... 17
Figure 11	Conversions to Irrigated Farmland 1998-2000..... 18
Figure 12	California Wine Grape Acreage 1990-2000..... 19
Figure 13	Net Change in Irrigated, Select Counties, 1998-2000..... 20
Figure 14	Vineyard Development Air Photo Example..... 20
Figure 15	Distribution of Prime Farmland 2000..... 21
TABLES	
Table 1	Digital Map Updating..... 8
Table 2	Top Overall Urban Ranks..... 12
Table 3	Important Farmland Conversion Summary 1998-2000.. 13
Table 4	Top Irrigated to Urban Ranks
Table 5	Top Ranks – Increases in Irrigated Land..... 19

Appendix A: County Conversion Tables

Table A-1	Alameda	25
Table A-2	Amador	26
Table A-3	Colusa.....	27
Table A-4	Contra Costa	28
Table A-5	El Dorado.....	29
Table A-6	Fresno	30
Table A-7	Glenn.....	31
Table A-8	Imperial.....	32
Table A-9	Kings	33
Table A-10	Lake	34
	Lassen (see Sierra Valley)	58
Table A-11	Los Angeles	35
Table A-12	Madera.....	36
Table A-13	Marin	37
Table A-14	Mariposa	38
Table A-15	Merced	39
Table A-16	Modoc	40
Table A-17	Monterey.....	41
Table A-18	Napa	42
Table A-19	Nevada	43
Table A-20	Orange.....	44
Table A-21	Placer	45
	Plumas (see Sierra Valley).....	58
Table A-22	Riverside	46
Table A-23	Sacramento	47
Table A-24	San Benito.....	48
Table A-25	San Bernardino	49
Table A-26	San Diego	50
Table A-27	San Joaquin.....	51
Table A-28	San Luis Obispo	52
Table A-29	San Mateo	53
Table A-30	Santa Barbara	54
Table A-31	Santa Clara	55
Table A-32	Santa Cruz.....	56
Table A-33	Shasta.....	57
Table A-34	Sierra Valley (Lassen/Plumas/Sierra).....	58
Table A-35	Siskiyou	59
Table A-36	Solano.....	60
Table A-37	Sonoma	61
Table A-38	Stanislaus.....	62
Table A-39	Sutter	63
Table A-40	Tehama.....	64
Table A-41	Tulare	65
Table A-42	Ventura.....	66
Table A-43	Yolo	67
Table A-44	Yuba	68
Table A-45	Butte	69

Table A-46	Kern - Important Farmland Area	70
Table A-47	Kern - Interim Farmland Area	71

Appendix B: 1998 and 2000 County Acreage Tallies

Table B-1	Important Farmland Acreage Summary 1998	73
Table B-2	Important Farmland Acreage Summary 2000.....	74
Table B-3	Important Farmland Acreage Summary, By Region, 2000.....	75

Appendix C: County and Regional Conversion Summaries

Table C-1	Sources of Urban Land, 1998-2000 and Land Committed to Nonagricultural Use.....	77
Table C-2	Irrigated Farmland Changes 1998-2000.....	78
Table C-3	Net Change in Irrigated Land 1998-2000	79



Executive Summary


Urban development increased by 30% over the prior update.


Vineyard development helped offset irrigated farmland losses in some areas of the state.


California land use conversion between 1998 and 2000 reflected the strong economy and specific agricultural trends of the late 1990's. Statewide urbanization as mapped by the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program (FMMP) exceeded 90,000 acres for the first time since 1990-1992. Prime Farmland accounted for 19% of the 91,258 new urban acres, and other irrigated farmland categories comprised an additional 8% of new urban land.

The FMMP biennial mapping survey covers approximately 90% of the privately owned land in the state (44.5 million acres) in 48 counties. Land use information is gathered using air photos and other information, and combined with soil quality information in a geographic information system (GIS) to produce the maps and statistics.

URBANIZATION

 91,258 acres were urbanized

 29% of urbanization
occurred in Riverside & San
Diego counties.

 19% of urbanization
occurred on Prime Farmland.




The southern California counties of Riverside and San Diego accounted for 29% of new urban acres in 1998-2000, and five counties in the Sacramento and San Francisco Bay areas (Sacramento, Contra Costa, Santa Clara, Sonoma, and Placer) accounted for an additional 28%. This was the first time central California counties comprised such a large amount of the state's new urban land.

Most new urban land was residential and commercial.

Golf course communities were developed or expanded in all of the rapidly urbanizing counties, and were relatively common statewide. Fifteen additions to golf course areas were made in Riverside's Coachella Valley. Other urbanization examples included recreational facilities such as Legoland in San Diego County, landfill expansions, schools, parks, hospitals, sewage treatment plants, and transportation facilities.

Irrigated farmland, particularly Prime Farmland, was affected by this urbanization in the large majority of the actively urbanizing counties. In some locations, large proportions of the new urban land occurred on former irrigated farmland. Examples include San Joaquin County, where 2,037 out of the 2,555 new urban acres occurred on irrigated farmland (80%), and in Merced County where the figure was 84% (874 out of 1,040 acres).

Aside from urbanization, other factors caused increases or decreases in the amount of irrigated farmland in California. Farmland losses occurred due to conversions to low-density residential uses, ecological restoration projects, or long-term land idling. Counties with more than 10,000 acres removed from irrigated farmland categories included Riverside, San Diego, and Kern. Anticipated urban development, unavailability of irrigation water, soil issues, and economic factors are likely reasons that land has gone idle in any given location.

F A R M L A N D	
 29 counties had net decreases in irrigated acreage.	Land was also converted from native vegetation or formerly idle farmland to irrigated uses. The San Joaquin Valley and Central Coast each experienced conversions of this type totaling more than 40,000 acres between 1998 and 2000.
 Among the remaining 19 counties, wine grape growing areas accounted for 71% of increases in irrigated acreage.	Vineyard development accounted for much of the new irrigated farmland. Five wine grape counties (Monterey, San Luis Obispo, Sonoma, Santa Barbara, and Napa) had net increases of irrigated land totaling 42,775 acres during the period. Orchards, strawberries, ornamental crops, and baby carrots were the other agricultural uses increasing in specific counties. A large majority of the land brought into irrigated agriculture during the two
 Wine grape acreage in California now exceeds raisin and table grape acreage combined.	years (68%) did not qualify for Prime Farmland.

FMMP conversion statistics for all types of land use change were higher in 1998-2000 than in 1996-1998. The figures represent a continuation of trends—increase in urbanization rates and major investment in vineyard development—that were noted in the 1998 map update.

Combined data from the 1996-1998 and 1998-2000 updates indicate that California Prime Farmland acreage declined by more than 77,000 acres, and all other irrigated categories except Unique Farmland lost an additional 47,000 acres. Unique Farmland had a net gain of 42,000 acres during the four-year period. Overall the state gained more than 161,000 acres (about 252 square miles) of urban land and lost 82,512 acres (about 129 square miles) of irrigated farmland in the 1996 to 2000 timeframe.